To Develop Iranians’ Social Functioning Conceptual Framework and Indicators: A Mixed Method Approach

Kambiz Abachizadeh1, Soheila Omidnia*, Ahmad Hajebi3

1Associate Professor of Community Medicine, Department of community medicine, School of Medicine, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.
2MA, MPH, Social Health Office, Health Deputy of Ministry of Health and Medical Education, Tehran, Iran (Corresponding author)
3Mental Health Research Center, Tehran Institute of Psychiatry- Faculty of Behavioral Sciences and Mental Health, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

Corresponding Author: Soheila Omidnia, MA, MPH, Social Health Office, Health Deputy of Ministry of Health and Medical Education, Tehran, Iran, Tel: +98 21 81454948; Email: Ne_soha@yahoo.com

Received Date: 13 Jun 2017
Accepted Date: 01 Jul 2017
Published Date: 05 Jul 2017

ABSTRACT
Background & objectives: Social functioning plays a major role in community health as both a “health asset” and “determinant of physical and mental health”. The aim of present study was to establish a frame for the concept of social functioning and develop related indicators in national and provincial level.

Methods: A combination of qualitative and quantitative approach was used. A primary pool of domains, subdomains and related indicators were extracted via literature review. Next, through conducting sessions with experts, the framework and indicators was developed. Finally, scoring in a three-point Likert-type scale was used to prioritize indicators on the basis of their importance and feasibility to measure.

Results: A consensus-built framework was developed with six main domains including; “family role”; “role as member of social networks including friends and relatives”; “responsible for self-care”; “role as a citizen”; “economic roles” and “flourishing the talents”. Indicators related to family functioning”, violence and employment were ranked highest.

Conclusion: The idea of social functioning is, on the face of it, straightforward. However, reviewing evidence demonstrated high level diversity between different communities and experts from different countries. The locally developed framework and prepared indicators assist policy-makers to analysis the current situation of populations’ social functions and an instrument in their hands to monitor community-based initiatives in both national and provincial level.
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INTRODUCTION
In the new public health, the concept of “social functioning” has received particular attention as both a social health asset and a determinant of physical and mental health, in despite the fact that the concept primarily derived from the works of sociologists and psychiatrists [1-2]. Seeking care for non-psychotic mental disorders as a consequence of problematic social relationships was one of the first reasons of paying attention to the concept of social roles and similarly, social functions [3-5].

Several countries, especially developed, and international organizations have made attempts to develop their special indicators of social function [6-9]. Most of them have integrated social functioning indicators into their health programs such as Americans’ healthy people 2020 program; OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development) framework of monitoring health; framework of CIHI (Canadian Institute for Health Information) [10-12]. A recently developed framework for monitoring social well-being in Iran, has considered
‘social functioning’ as a main component but there is no detailed indicators to monitor social functioning [13].

In Iran, as a middle-income country, with rapid social, cultural, and political changes, and improvement in social function was increasingly emphasized by government and policy-makers in recent twenty years, following the evidence-based reports of diminishing trend of social health and capital and increasing trend of social problems such as drug abuse, violence, depression, relationship and family breakdown. The last report of global burden of diseases study regarding Iran situation, which estimated burden of 289 diseases with 67 risk factors, ranked drug use, intimate partner violence, and children sexual abuse as 10th, 13th, and 16th risk factor, respectively; and ranked major depressive disorder, anxiety disorder, drug abuse disorder, self-harm, and interpersonal violence as 4th, 10th, 12th, 23th, 25th of diseases, respectively [14, 15]. In addition the trend of divorce and sexual dysfunction among Iranian spouses has been increasing during recent decades [16, 17]. Taken together, the weight of problems with social aspect in Iranian health system is dramatic. Iran Supreme-leader has recently alarm and concern at the impact of social problems and emergent need for governmental interventions. For this reason, policy-makers in different organizations such as Ministry of State, Ministry of Social Affairs and especially Ministry of Health (MOH) made several attempts to deal with the problems. Establishment of Social Health Unit in MOH, conducting the first round of “Iranians’ social health survey”, and implementing provincial community-based initiatives to reduce social problems were a number of good illustrations [18-20].

Following the mentioned attempts, Social Health Unit of MOH decided to develop an evidence-based conceptual framework and indicators to monitor social functioning as a major aspect of social health in national and provincial level since there is no a consensus among sociologists and public health scientists on the concept of social functioning. Indeed, developed indicators would be a valuable instrument in hands of policymakers in different levels to make most effective and efficient attempts. Therefore, the aim of our study was to contribute to this growing area by exploring framework of social functioning, and develop and prioritize indicators through a consensus-building approach.

METHODS

The methodological approach taken in our study was a mixed qualitative and quantitative approach. At first step (qualitative phase), the aim was to identify all probable domains, sub-domains, and indicators of social functioning through a structured literature review. Strategy of search was mainly relied on search of academic literature and then World Wide Web for gray literature such as governmental reports and policy papers.

We reviewed the literature from 1995 to 2017 to identify domains and sub-domains and related indicators within areas. Persian databases such as IRANDOC, ‘Barakat Knowledge Network System’, Magiran, and SID were searched. English databases, e.g. Social Sciences Citation Index, Medline, Scopus and Google Scholar, were also searched. The key words included indicator, index, social functioning, social adjustment, social role, social performance, social health, etc. and a filter for social support and social insurance. To do qualitative analysis, meaning units from different documents were extracted and coded. Similar codes were grouped and primary categories were formed. The highest homogeneity was maintained within the groups and also the most heterogeneity between groups. Then the categories were named as domains and sub-domains.

Next, a primarily prepared framework of social functioning was presented and discussed by a group of ten experts from different disciplines –psychiatry, psychology, public health and sociology. Then, through a two rounded mailing process, the consensus was built on social functioning framework, domains, subdomains and indicators. Indicators were arranged both positively such as employment and negatively such as divorce rate.

In quantitative phase, a questionnaire including prepared indicators was sent to officers of Mental Health Departments in all provinces with a census sampling approach from 56 Medical Universities (In Iran, each public Medical University has a defined catchment area and is responsible for health of population in its own area. 25 of officers accepted to participate (Response rate = 45%). They were asked to state their view on each indicator in two aspects- importance and feasibility to be measure- in 1 three-point Likert-scale (one to three).

Based on the scores of each indicator in two aspects, we categorized the indicators in three categories:

- Category one- indicators with high priority (mean importance score ≥ 2 and mean feasibility to measure score ≥ 2)
- Category two- indicators with moderate priority (mean importance score ≥ 2 and mean feasibility to measure score < 2)
- Category one- indicators with low priority (mean importance score < 2 and mean feasibility to measure score < 2)

RESULTS

The developed conceptual framework of social functioning and a number of its indicators are displayed in figure 1.
Finally, the high-priority indicators based on both importance and feasibility are summarized below:

- Rate of unemployed who seeking for a job
- Rate of families with adequate income to cover the costs
- Proportion of food costs of income
- Rate of children with single or no parent
- Rate of families who have their own house
- Rate of primary school enrollment, Age 6
- Rate of high school enrollment, Age 13
- Rate of families which have own their house
- Rate of suicide
- Rate of overweight and obesity
- Rate of adults with have received a university degree

**DISCUSSION**

To our knowledge and search, it was the first study in country that attempted to develop social functioning indicators in national and provincial level in a systematically evidence-based approach. The developed framework of social functioning includes five domains and 17 sub-domains which emphasized mainly on social roles and responsibilities. In this conceptual framework, ideal social functioning occurs when an individual is 'a productive person', 'a good member of family, friends and relatives networks', 'adhere to moral and ethical values', 'a good citizen of country and world' and finally 'able to flourishing his/her talents'.

In current study, we suggested a framework which monitors social functioning independently from health but along with other aspects of health. This approach in not usual and most of health system integrate social functioning indicators in comprehensive health programs. Healthy people 2020 which provides science-based, 10-year national objectives for improving the health of Americans, is a good illustration. There is a separate part for social indicators, some of them emphasizing on social functioning such as; being economically stable (e.g. Proportion of households that experience housing cost burden); being educated (e.g. Proportion of high school completers who were enrolled in college the October immediately after completing high school); living in safe Neighborhood (e.g. reduce the rate of minor and young adult perpetration of violent crimes); and community participation (e.g. Proportion of persons eligible to participate in elections who register and who actually vote) [10]. Regarding other approaches to social functioning indicators, assessing WHO health indicators.
demonstrates high focus on literacy, economic situation and health care access as the main indicators which are related to social functioning [21]. Similarly, Canadian health indicators also focus on unemployment, community belonging, and income as three main social indicators [12].

The result of our study is somewhat similar to Zubrick’s work in Australia who developed social and family functioning indicators in five areas; Time (e.g. areas Parental hours in paid employment); Income (Total family income); Human capital (Parental/carer skills and competencies); Psychological capital (Family conflict (discord, violence, abuse)); Social capital (Civic involvement). Developed indicators are consistent with findings of our study in spite of different method of categorization of domains and sub-domains [22].

High score of indicators related to family functioning such as sexual satisfaction and parenting skills are surprising. The list of top-ranked indicators shows the change of Iranian experts’ view on social functioning. It seem that their previous view which has been focusing on pure mental disorders such as drug abuse and depression is shifting to a more broad approach that which pays particular attention to social routes of problems such as unemployment, lack of social skills and dissatisfaction in family environment. Additionally, emphasis on risk-taking behaviors such as smoking, physical inactivity and alcohol consumption was interesting too. It shows the attention of Iranians expert to the issue of newly emerged non-communicable diseases, behavioral risk factors, and their social impacts.

On the other hand, less attention to indicators related to employing new technologies, non-formal education such as; mean time non-formal study, ability to use new IT technologies and environment protection, was disappointing.

Taken together, the developed indicators would assess the policy-makers, especially in provincial level to design, implement and monitor efficient community-based interventions.
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